

Towards a relativity of dark-matter rods and clocks

D. V. AHLUWALIA

*Department of Physics and Astronomy
Rutherford Building, University of Canterbury
Private Bag 4800, Christchurch 8020, New Zealand*

E-mail: dharamvir.ahluwalia@canterbury.ac.nz

Abstract

In the absence of dark matter, the dynamical and kinematical interpretations of the special relativistic spacetime have been and still are the topic of philosophic debate, which whilst fertile, is by and large of little predictive power. This changes dramatically if the debate includes a dark matter candidate in a “non-trivial” extension of the standard model. Here I argue that rods and clocks made out of dark matter may not reveal the same underlying algebraic structure as the rods and clocks made out of standard model particles. For the sake of concreteness I here exemplify the argument by looking at a particular dark matter candidate called Elko. Inevitably, one is led to the conclusion that gravity within the dark sector, and at the interface between dark matter and standard-model matter, may deviate from the canonical general relativistic predictions. For Elko dark matter such effects will be of second order in the sense that they will depend only on the angular momentum and spin of the gravitational environment.

ESSAY WRITTEN FOR THE GRAVITY RESEARCH FOUNDATION 2009
AWARDS FOR ESSAYS ON GRAVITATION; SUBMISSION DATE: 31
MARCH 2009; SELECTED FOR AN “HONORABLE MENTION” 15 MAY
2009.

In the dynamical interpretation of the spacetime of special relativity (SR), Lorentz algebra ($\mathcal{L}$) emerges as a property of the standard-model (SM) fields, and not as an intrinsic attribute of spacetime itself [1]. The Lorentz algebra describes not only the spinorial characteristics of the SM matter, but also the four-vector character of the Einsteinian spacetime. That is, rods and clocks made of SM material reveal the symmetries underlying the material they are made of, rather than other way around where spacetime is a kinematic element and its symmetries dictate what matter fields can exist.

However, as long as there was no inkling of dark matter, the dynamical interpretation of spacetime and its kinematical counterpart were entirely a matter of philosophic debate. However, as shall be argued here, recent work on the quantum field theory of Elko dark matter suggests a logical corollary to the dynamical proposition [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]: *rods and clocks made out of dark matter may not reveal the same underlying algebraic structure as do rods and clocks made out of the standard model particles.*

The proof of this corollary is subtle and requires a self contained technical presentation of the Elko quantum field. For the sake of expediency we shall here confine to a heuristic argument that may suffice to convince the reader that the present suggestion is a valid one.

Outline of an algebraic derivation of special relativistic boosts and rotations.

First let us take a brief walk through the familiar territory of the SM matter fields and the realm of SR. In the process we shall arrive at the outline of an algebraic derivation of special relativistic boosts and rotations. The task of this excursion is to show with an element of transparency as to how the symmetries of the underlying matter determines the properties of the emergent spacetime. This gives the dynamical interpretation of special relativity a concrete form and allows us to suggest the said corollary.

All standard model matter fields are described by the Dirac quantum field. Since our immediate interest is to construct the classical, rather than a quantum spacetime, we will only consider the Dirac spinors.¹ These four-component spinors are a direct sum of the massive two-component Weyl spinors of the right and left-handed type. In an argument spanning several chapters, Weinberg shows that the Dirac rest spinors must have a very specific form in which the left-transforming and the right-transforming Weyl components of the Dirac spinors carry *matching* helicities [10]. He further arrives at the conclusion that the global phase associated with these spinors *cannot* be arbitrary, but must take a set of very specific values in order that the field operator transforms

¹The presented exercise is also suggestive of a new procedure for constructing a quantum spacetime.

unitarily between the inertial frames of SR, and in order that locality be preserved.² Thus the special relativistic demand of locality is incorporated in the description of SM fermions through a very specific choice of phases in the SM matter fields. This is beyond the naive assumption that Dirac spinors chosen simply as solutions of the Dirac equation will yield a local quantum field that corresponds to a unitary representation of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group.

To further understand the relation between Dirac spinors and SR spacetime, note that the boost transformation for the right-handed Weyl spinors is associated with the boost generator $-i\boldsymbol{\sigma}/2$ and reads³

$$\kappa_r = \exp\left(+\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m(E+m)}} [(E+m)\mathbb{I} + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}] \quad (1)$$

Similarly, the boost transformation for the left-handed Weyl spinors is associated with the boost generator $+i\boldsymbol{\sigma}/2$ and is

$$\kappa_\ell = \exp\left(-\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m(E+m)}} [(E+m)\mathbb{I} - \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}] \quad (2)$$

Analogous expressions can be written for the spinorial transformation matrix for rotations. These can be obtained by taking note that both the right and the left-handed Weyl spinors are associated with the rotational generator $\boldsymbol{\sigma}/2$. The rotational transformation matrix for Dirac spinors is simply the *direct sum* $\zeta_{Dirac} := \zeta_r \oplus \zeta_\ell$, where

$$\zeta_r = \zeta_\ell = \exp\left(i\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}\right) \quad (3)$$

The boost operator for the Dirac spinors is the *direct sum* $\kappa_r \oplus \kappa_\ell$.

This is all very familiar. In fact, had one arrived at $\mathcal{L}$ through experiments on electrons, one could have arrived at the relativity of spacetime in a more transparent way; or at least in a manner that manifestly reflects the emergence of spacetime from the underlying matter fields. To illustrate, let us take the convention where the spacetime four vectors are represented as $x^\mu := \{t, x, y, z\}$. Then the boost and rotation matrices of SR in terms of $\kappa_r, \kappa_\ell, \zeta_r$, and ζ_ℓ read

$$\alpha [\kappa_r \otimes \kappa_\ell] \alpha^{-1}, \quad \alpha [\zeta_r \otimes \zeta_\ell] \alpha^{-1} \quad (4)$$

with α given by

$$\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & -i & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 & i \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & i & i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

²This is not well known, and numerous textbooks suffer from errors that can be traced back to this neglect. Among the few books that do not suffer from this ailment the reader may consult Srednicki's classic [11].

³Most of the notation is standard, and is defined in references [2, 3]. In particular, $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ is the boost parameter, and $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ represents the rotational parameter. The $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ are the standard Pauli matrices.

The reader may wish to verify that the above two expressions coincide with the Einsteinian results for SR. The generators associated with $\kappa_r \oplus \kappa_\ell$ and $\zeta_r \oplus \zeta_\ell$ satisfy the Lorentz algebra, as do those associated with $\kappa_r \otimes \kappa_\ell$ and $\zeta_r \otimes \zeta_\ell$. The need for α is simply to respect the standard co-ordinate convention.

This brief review and outline thus serves to bring out the algebraic harmony between the spinorial properties of the SM matter fields and the Einsteinian spacetime of SR. The important observation is that for the Dirac spinors both the right- and left- Weyl components carry *same* helicity.

Towards a relativity of Elko dark-matter rods and clocks.

The starting point of the Elko quantum field is a complete set of eigenspinors of the spin one half charge conjugation operator, $\mathcal{C}$. These are obtained from the observation that if $\phi_\ell(\mathbf{p})$ transforms as a *left*-handed (massive) Weyl spinor then (a) under a Lorentz boost, $\eta\Theta\phi_\ell^*(\mathbf{p})$ transforms as a *right*-handed Weyl spinor (where η is an unspecified phase to be determined below and Θ is Wigner's time reversal operator for spin one half, $\Theta[\boldsymbol{\sigma}/2]\Theta^{-1} = -[\boldsymbol{\sigma}/2]^*$); and (b) the helicity of $\eta\Theta\phi_\ell^*(\mathbf{p})$ is *opposite* to that of $\phi_\ell(\mathbf{p})$. The phase η is fixed to be $\pm i$ by demanding that

$$\chi(\mathbf{p}) = \begin{pmatrix} \eta\Theta\phi_\ell^*(\mathbf{p}) \\ \phi_\ell(\mathbf{p}) \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

be eigenspinors of $\mathcal{C}$ with eigenvalues ± 1 . A simple calculation shows that unlike the Dirac spinors of the SM, the $\chi(\mathbf{p})$ are not eigenspinors of the $\gamma_\mu p^\mu$ operator [12, 3]; and hence do not satisfy Dirac equation. This, along with the results that followed, had a series of potentially important theoretical and phenomenological consequences. Our excitement is noted in the opening and concluding lines of the *abstract* of our PRD paper. These read [2]

We report an unexpected theoretical discovery of a spin one-half matter field with mass dimension one. ... Its dominant interaction with known forms of matter is via Higgs, and with gravity. This aspect leads us to contemplate it as a first-principle candidate for dark matter.

The details appeared in a 2005 JCAP paper [3] with additional insights reported in [13].

The *uniqueness* of the Dirac quantum field – modulo the 1937 observation of Majorana [14] – within the context of the inhomogeneous Lorentz spacetime symmetries is apparent from the noted work of Weinberg. This immediately raises the question as to how the Elko quantum field be accommodated within inhomogeneous Lorentz symmetries. The rough answer is that just as certain solutions of a wave equation need not exhibit the symmetries underling the

wave equation, certain quantum fields may not carry the full symmetries of the underlying algebraic structure – the violation may be similar to spontaneous symmetry breaking in the SM physics, or something not yet fully known. The Elko construct demands that the Lorentz spacetime symmetries must be violated, or modified, without changing the generators from their special relativistic form.

Concluding remarks.

The Elkonian special relativity necessarily suggests an as-yet-unknown modification of general relativity for the Elkonian dark sector and its interface with the SM matter and gauge fields. It is already apparent that these deviations from GR shall be second order. Second order, in the sense that these shall depend on the angular momentum and the spin content of the gravitational environment with as yet to be deciphered consequences for astrophysics and cosmology. Yet, these effects may already be present in the high-precision cosmic microwave background data [15, 16, 17].

On the more urgent side, understanding the violation of the canonical rotational symmetry by the Elkonian dark sector remains an outstanding preoccupation. Insights in this regard are emerging from the work reported in this essay.

The arguments that I have outlined here are far from complete. However, I hope this essay serves the purpose of convincing the reader that the rods and clocks made out of dark matter – which need not be Elko – may not reveal the same underlying algebraic structure as the rods and clocks made out of the standard model particles.

Since this essay was first written, we have discovered that the said violation of the Lorentz symmetries happens through the introduction of a preferred axis along which Elko quantum field becomes local. This work shall soon be reported in a separate publication.

Acknowledgements. It is my pleasure to thank Adam Gillard, Cheng-Yang Lee, Dmitri Schrittt and Ben Martin for our continuing discussion on Elko dark matter. I also thank Karl-Henning Rehren for his comments on Elko, and an anonymous referee of JCAP. Finally, it is my pleasure to acknowledge lengthy discussion with Harvey Brown on the dynamical interpretation of spacetime. These were important for the view taken in this essay.

References

- [1] H. R. Brown, *Physical relativity: Space-time structure from a dynamical perspective*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK, 2005.

- [2] D. V. Ahluwalia and D. Grumiller, *Dark matter: A spin one half fermion field with mass dimension one?*, *Phys. Rev.* **D72** (2005) 067701 [[hep-th/0410192](#)].
- [3] D. V. Ahluwalia and D. Grumiller, *Spin half fermions with mass dimension one: Theory, phenomenology, and dark matter*, *JCAP* **0507** (2005) 012 [[hep-th/0412080](#)].
- [4] C. G. Boehmer, *The Einstein-Cartan-Elko system*, *Annalen Phys.* **16** (2007) 38–44 [[gr-qc/0607088](#)].
- [5] R. da Rocha and J. M. Hoff da Silva, *From Dirac spinor fields to Elko*, *J. Math. Phys.* **48** (2007) 123517 [[0711.1103](#)].
- [6] D. Gredat and S. Shankaranarayanan, *Consistency relation between the scalar and tensor spectra in spinflation*, [0807.3336](#).
- [7] R. da Rocha and J. Rodrigues, W. A., *Where are Elko spinor fields in Lounesto spinor field classification?*, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A21** (2006) 65–74 [[math-ph/0506075](#)].
- [8] R. da Rocha and J. M. Hoff da Silva, *Elko, flagpole and flag-dipole spinor fields, and the instanton Hopf fibration*, [0811.2717](#).
- [9] R. da Rocha and J. M. Hoff da Silva, *Elko Spinor Fields: Lagrangians for Gravity derived from Supergravity*, [0901.0883](#).
- [10] S. Weinberg, *The Quantum theory of fields. Vol. 1: Foundations*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1995.
- [11] M. Srednicki, *Quantum field theory*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2007.
- [12] V. V. Dvoeglazov, *Lagrangian for the Majorana-Ahluwalia construct*, *Nuovo Cim.* **A108** (1995) 1467–1476 [[hep-th/9506083](#)].
- [13] D. V. Ahluwalia, C.-Y. Lee and D. Schrittt, *Local fermionic dark matter with mass dimension one*, [0804.1854](#).
- [14] E. Majorana, *Theory of the symmetry of electrons and positrons*, *Nuovo Cim.* **14** (1937) 171–184.
- [15] P. K. Samal, R. Saha, P. Jain and J. P. Ralston, *Testing Isotropy of Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation*, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **385** (2008) 1718 [[0708.2816](#)].
- [16] P. K. Samal, R. Saha, P. Jain and J. P. Ralston, *Signals of Statistical Anisotropy in WMAP Foreground- Cleaned Maps*, [0811.1639](#).

- [17] **WMAP** Collaboration, G. Hinshaw et al., *Five-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP collaboration) Observations: Data Processing, Sky Maps, & Basic Results*, *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **180** (2009) 225–245 [0803.0732].